

Location Tracking Technology: Applications and Impact in Community Corrections

Published: June 2025

Part I: Background

This white paper examines how current and emerging location tracking technology impacts community corrections programs and agency operations. It provides corrections professionals and agency decision-makers with insights into understanding compliance standards, building an implementation plan with program requirements, and applying these tools to enhance supervision effectiveness and support rehabilitation outcomes.

The paper also examines which consumer technology trends have the potential to further influence correctional operations and supervision strategies.

Part II: Impact on Supervision Practices

Location tracking technology continues to advance and is a cornerstone tool of effective community supervision. Building on decades of proven methods, today's integrated technology enhances officers' ability to support successful outcomes for individuals on probation and parole.

Modern systems seamlessly integrate Global Positioning System (GPS) and Radio Frequency (RF) technology to provide comprehensive visibility into movement patterns. Officers receive automated compliance documentation and intelligent notifications, streamlining the verification process. This enhanced efficiency enables them to maintain high standards of oversight, while focusing on individualized support.

We will present field data that demonstrates how agencies using modern tracking systems are able to expand and streamline their supervision capabilities. It's important to keep in mind, that the technology is developed to enhance interactions rather than replace human judgment, providing additional tools to help guide the goal of successful outcomes.^{3,4}

Part III: How Legal Standards Guide Technology and Design

Legal standards and statutory operational requirements also shape the development of modern location tracking systems. Oftentimes, the goal of legislative decisions is a balance of agency efficacy and client discretion.

Advanced analytics exemplify this guided approach to technology design. Systems can now detect and report on subtle variations in location patterns, schedule adherence, and movement anomalies. Officers weigh these technical indicators alongside critical stability factors such as employment participation, family relationships, treatment progress, and community engagement. This comprehensive evaluation helps create more effective, individualized supervision strategies.

Corrections professionals also contribute direct field experience to influence everything from device ergonomics to interface design. This collaboration between technology developers and corrections experts produces systems designed to enhance supervision practices, while maintaining necessary compliance standards.⁴

Part IV: Advanced Location Tracking Technology

Current and emerging location tracking systems build on breakthroughs from the broader consumer electronics industry. At the core of these systems is a sophisticated network of GPS and other GNSS satellites, working seamlessly with ground-based augmentation systems and cellular networks to ensure consistent coverage.

Modern tracking devices incorporate dual-frequency receivers that maintain precise positioning even in challenging urban environments. These receivers work alongside integrated accelerometers and cellular technology to create comprehensive movement profiles. Advanced tamper and biometric facial comparison features add another layer of security, providing supervising officers with reliable compliance data they can trust.

In addition, tracking devices can now operate for up to 60 hours between charges, feature water-resistant construction, as well as maintain a discrete profile. These practical improvements support successful supervision by enabling individuals to participate in work, treatment programs, and daily activities, while meeting their monitoring requirements.^{5,6}

Part V: Implementation Considerations

Location tracking program implementation requires a strategic approach that balances technical capabilities with operational requirements. A thorough needs assessment examines supervision population characteristics, geographic coverage areas, and specific program objectives to guide technology selection and deployment.

System Selection Criteria	Infrastructure Requirements	Staff Training Priorities	Data Security Protocols
- Coverage requirements - Accuracy needs - Integration capabilities - Data security standards - Scalability options	- Network connectivity - Data storage capacity - Processing capabilities - Backup systems	- Device operation - Software usage - Violation response protocols - Data privacy management⁴	- Encryption standards - Access controls - Comprehensive audit trails - Compliance with federal monitoring guidelines⁵

Beyond these technical aspects, implementation planning addresses organizational preparation through clear policies, established response protocols, and comprehensive training programs. Regular evaluation of program metrics ensures the technology continues to meet supervision objectives, while supporting positive outcomes.

Part VI: Agency Outcomes and Performance Metrics

Research from correctional agencies across the United States presents the evidence for the positive impact of electronic monitoring programs. Analysis of multi-year data indicates these programs provide significant benefits in cost avoidance, improved outcomes, and operational efficiency.^{7,8}

Based on this information, for every 100 individuals supervised with GPS monitoring instead of incarceration, agencies can potentially avoid millions in annual costs while maintaining supervision standards. This provides agencies with the potential to achieve return on investment within the first year of implementation.

These reinvestment opportunities help agencies develop more effective supervision programs by strengthening staff capabilities, improving service delivery, and maintaining current technology standards—all of which support successful outcomes for clients and communities.



Community supervision program effectiveness data shows reduced recidivism rates compared to baseline supervision

EM Monitoring reduces recidivism⁷ ↓ 16%

GPS Monitoring reduces recidivism⁹ ↓ 31%

GPS combined with support services achieves reduction¹¹ ↓ 38%



Operational metrics demonstrate community supervision efficiency

Increase in successful community integration ↑ 16%

Successful notification response rate ↑ 56%

Reduction in violent crimes⁹ ↓ 32%

Reduction in client non-compliance ↓ 31%

Part VII: Developments on the Horizon

Recent advances in location tracking technology suggest promising new possibilities for community corrections programs. Research indicates several potential innovations that could shape future supervision methods:

- AI-powered risk prediction
- Automated intervention systems
- Enhanced biometric integration
- Improved indoor tracking
- Expanded cellular capabilities

The goal for these developing tools is to help bridge current gaps in supervision coverage, particularly in rural areas or regions with limited cellular infrastructure. Initial testing indicates possibilities for connecting services and information through:

- Seamless justice system communication
- Automated court reporting
- Real-time treatment provider coordination
- Enhanced data analytics
- Predictive compliance tools

As agencies begin to adopt these technologies, they may even be able to stay ahead of changing legislative requirements while maintaining program effectiveness and supporting their core mission statement.^{1,5,6}

Part VIII: Impact on Officer Workflow

Current technology advances are changing daily operations for supervision officers. When in use, these systems provide filtered reports that highlight specific concerns, enabling officers to focus on priority issues rather than reviewing for potential violations.

When addressing compliance concerns, officers' can access detailed event information to guide their response, including:

- Exact approach paths to restricted areas
- Duration of zone violations
- Historical behavior patterns
- Context for the event

The systems support supervision practices by tracking positive client actions:

- Consistent employment attendance
- Treatment program participation
- Meeting supervision conditions
- Following scheduled check-ins

This automated documentation helps agencies make data-informed decisions about supervision levels and program completion. With comprehensive activity records supporting their professional judgment, officers can better assess client progress and adjust supervision strategies accordingly.^{1,5,6}

Part IX: Conclusion

Location tracking has proven its value in community corrections, delivering measurable improvements in supervision practices, rehabilitation outcomes, and operational efficiency. Current systems blend reliability with practical features that support both officers and clients.

Key elements of successful implementation include:

- Clear, actionable alerts that reduce alert fatigue
- Mobile access for real-time information
- Comprehensive training and support programs
- Integration with existing supervision tools
- Built-in compliance with legal requirements
- Streamlined reporting capabilities

When implemented effectively, these systems work seamlessly in the background, enabling officers to focus on what matters most: supporting client success while maintaining public safety.^{3,4,8}

Sources:

1. "Technology and Recidivism Reduction: A Comprehensive Analysis of Electronic Monitoring Systems." ScienceDirect Journal of Corrections Technology, vol. 42, no. 3, 2025. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0047235225000285>
2. "Monitoring High-Risk Gang Offenders with GPS Technology: An Evaluation of the California Supervision Program Final Report." National Institute of Justice, September 2013. <https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/244164.pdf>
3. "People on Electronic Monitoring: A National Overview of Trends and Practices." Vera Institute of Justice, New York, NY, January 2024. <https://vera-institute.files.svcdn.com/production/downloads/publications/People-on-Electronic-Monitoring-Full-Report-January-2024.pdf>
4. "Federal Location Monitoring: Evidence-Based Practices in Community Supervision." United States Courts Administrative Office, Washington, DC, 2024. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/probation-and-pretrial-services/evidence-based-practices/federal-location-monitoring>
5. "Smartphone-Based Supervision: Technological Innovations in Community Corrections." Corrections1 Research Division, Professional Technology Report, 2024. <https://www.corrections1.com/probation-and-parole/smartphone-based-supervision-the-swiss-army-knife-for-community-corrections>
6. "Emerging Technologies in Community Corrections: A Comprehensive Review." American City and County Technology Research Center, Urban Policy and Technology Series, 2024. <https://www.americancityandcounty.com/government-technology/new-options-for-community-corrections-through-developing-technologies>
7. "Can Electronic Monitoring Reduce Reoffending?" CATO Institute Research Brief, 2024. <https://www.cato.org/research-briefs-economic-policy/can-electronic-monitoring-reduce-reoffending>
8. "Electronic Monitoring Programs: Effectiveness and Costs." PEER Report #687, Mississippi Joint Legislative Committee, 2024. https://www.peer.ms.gov/sites/default/files/peer_publications/rpt687.pdf
9. "GPS Monitoring of High-Risk Sex Offenders." National Institute of Justice Report, 2024. <https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/234460.pdf>
10. "Annual Determination of Average Cost of Incarceration Fee (COIF)." Federal Register, September 22, 2023. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/09/22/2023-20585/annual-determination-of-average-cost-of-incarceration-fee-coif>
11. "Electronic Monitoring in the Criminal Justice System." National Institute of Justice Report, 2023. <https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/244914.pdf>
12. "Location Monitoring." United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, 2024. https://www.miept.uscourts.gov/location_monitoring.cfm
13. "Evaluation of the Global Positioning System Location Monitoring Program in the District of Columbia." National Institute of Justice Report, 2023. <https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/238481.pdf>